Evolution of Random Networks
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We investigate extremal dynamics on random networks. In the quenched case, after a transient time the dynamics is localized in the largest cluster. The activity in the largest cluster is nonergodic, with hot spots of activity typically centered around nodes with a high coordination number. The nonergodicity of the activity opens for models of evolving networks, which can self-organize into fractal geometries.
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The arrangement of units which interacts with one another is of general concern in a variety of fields, ranging from economy to biology and physics. One may think of networks of interdependent technologies, interacting macromolecules [1], genes within a biological organism [2], or species in a coevolutionary framework [3–7], to mention some examples. Such networks may be highly connected, or may consist of many isolated units or small groups, or lie in an intermediate case, forming a marginally connected network. It seems particularly interesting to discuss marginally connected networks, because they represent a regime where the dynamics on the network is conducting with a minimum overall activity [2].

In this paper we first investigate critical quenched random networks. However, it is not a simple task to obtain such a marginally connected network from a static approach, as this demands fine-tuning. Next, we consider extremal dynamics on random networks, as defined in the Bak-Sneppen (BS) model [5], to represent the many diverse forms in which complex systems may interact. Finally, we develop a dynamic of networks, which allows them to evolve into configurations of critical connectivity.

When studying networks of interacting units, the usual approach is to arrange the units in some fixed configuration (e.g., in a D-dimensional hypercubic lattice) and let them interact with their neighborhood, which is normally defined as consisting of the nearest units. In a realistic network, it is very unlikely that the neighborhood can be mapped into a regular D-dimensional lattice. It seems more natural to select the neighborhood of a unit freely and not to constrain it to any regular lattice. This will be the general situation whenever the interaction among units under consideration is not determined by the underlying space. Moreover, to keep a fixed configuration in such a situation is also a very strong assumption, which may be unphysical in many cases. Thus it is much more satisfying to relax these two constraints and let the interaction between units be defined on a random network which, eventually, is allowed to evolve by itself.

In order to fix ideas we consider a system modeling a biological ecology, but one should keep in mind that the results may as well concern other types of networks. The initial configuration of the ecology consists of N species, each of which has $z_i$ neighbors, where $z_i$ is a random number with a Poisson distribution with mean $(z)$. This is done by randomly selecting $N(z)/2$ bonds among the $N(N - 1)/2$ possible ones. In this way we restrict ourselves to a symmetric neighbor relation: if a species $i$ is a neighbor of another $j$, then $j$ is also a neighbor of $i$. The $N$ species in the ecology separate, in general, into noninteracting subecologies of sizes $n_1, \ldots, n_m$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{m} n_k = N$. In the small $(z)$ limit, there are many isolated clusters ($m$ large) which are small in size ($n_k \ll N \forall k$). In the large $(z)$ limit there are only a few isolated clusters ($m$ small) and the largest cluster contains most of the species.

We have measured the strength $P = \max_k \{n_k/N\}$ of the largest cluster as a function of $(z)$. Taking an ensemble average, we find, as shown in Fig. 1(a), a phase transition at $(z)_c = 1.00 \pm 0.01$, above which $P$ becomes finite. Indeed, we find that $P \propto (\langle z \rangle - (z)_c)^\beta$ with $\beta = 1.00 \pm 0.05$; see Fig. 1(b). The phase transition can also be followed in the inset of Fig. 1(a), where one observes that the average connectivity in the largest cluster $(z)_{\text{largest}}$ remains fairly constant when $(z)$ is close to the critical point. The connectivity of the largest cluster at the critical point $(z)_{\text{largest}} = 1.9998 \pm 0.0005$ corresponds to the demand that in a critical branching process on the cluster, the process should be marginally transmitted through each node. At the critical point the distribution of subecologies is a power law $P(n) \propto n^{-\tau_n}$ with $\tau_n = 2.50 \pm 0.10$ as displayed in Fig. 1(c). This suggests that the phase transition is in the universality class of mean-field percolation, where $\beta_{\text{perc}} = 1$ and $\tau_{\text{perc}} = 5/2$ [8].

We now study evolution on a quenched random network defined by the extremal dynamics of the BS model [5]. In this model one views an ecology as a dynamical system which consists of many species that interact locally with each other. Each species $i = 1, \ldots, N$ is
assigned a fitness parameter $B_i$, which reflects its overall survival capability. These parameters are initially uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, and the system is allowed to evolve as follows. At each step, the species $i_{\text{min}}$ with minimal fitness is located, and it undergoes a mutation: The procedure consists of reassigning a random value for the fitness of $i_{\text{min}}$. This mutation affects the fitnesses of all the species with which it interacts (its neighborhood), so they are also assigned new random fitnesses. The scenario emerging from the BS model is a self-organized critical steady state: regardless of the initial conditions, the fitness distribution of the ecology evolves into a steplike function characterized by a threshold fitness $B_c$. Furthermore, in the statistically stationary state various physical quantities are power-law distributed. In particular, the size $s$ of an avalanche with threshold $B < B_c$, defined as the number of successively located minimum-site fitnesses $B_{\text{min}}$, such that all $B_{\text{min}} < B$. When $B \rightarrow B_c$, the avalanche size distribution is a power law: $P(s) \sim s^{-\gamma}$. In standard studies on regular lattices it is found that the power-law avalanche size distribution is robust and depends only on the dimensionality of the lattice. The first- and all-return times of activity to a given species are also characteristic quantities which are power-law distributed [6]. Other studies of the BS dynamics under various regular geometries can be found in [9–13].

When we let the species on a quenched random network evolve according to the BS rules, we observe that, after a transient, changes typically occur only in the largest subecology. In fact, the minimal fitness remaining in a cluster as a function of its size $n_k$ can be obtained by noting that the gap $G(t) = \max_{i \leq < c} B_{\text{min}}(t')$ increases fastest for small clusters [6]. Therefore the minimal fitness in smaller clusters becomes higher than for large clusters, and, thus, in the long time limit, only the largest cluster will be visited. However, some exceptions might exist, for example, if a small cluster has a very high coordination number compared to that of the largest cluster.

FIG. 1. (a) The strength $P = \max_i \{n_i/N\}$ of the largest cluster as a function of the mean coordination number $\langle z \rangle$. There is a phase transition at $\langle z \rangle_c = 1.00 \pm 0.01$ above which a macroscopic number of the species will be interconnected. The inset shows how the average coordination number in the largest cluster $\langle z \rangle_{\text{largest}}$ increases with $\langle z \rangle$ and reaches a value of 1.9998 ± 0.0005 at $\langle z \rangle_c$. Both curves were obtained with an ensemble average over 100 systems with $N = 10^6$. (b) The strength $P$ of the largest cluster increases with the distance from the critical coordination number $\langle z \rangle_c$, like a power law $P \sim (\langle z \rangle - \langle z \rangle_c)^{\beta}$ where $\beta = 1.00 \pm 0.05$. The displayed dashed line has a slope $\beta = 1$. The data represent an ensemble average over 100 systems with $N = 10^6$. The excess of $P$ when $\langle z \rangle - \langle z \rangle_c \approx 10^{-2}$ is an effect of using a finite $N$. (c) The distribution of cluster sizes $P(n)$ at $\langle z \rangle_c$, displayed in a log-log plot. The data represent an average over 1000 systems of sizes $N = 10^6$. The dashed line has a slope of $-\tau_n = -2.5$.  
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We have simulated the evolution on a quenched random network with neighbor numbers $z_i$ selected, as described above, so as to have a mean $\langle z \rangle = \langle z \rangle_c$. The results are collected after the transient at the statistically stationary state. The avalanche size distribution is displayed by the circles in Fig. 2(a). We find that as $B$ approaches $B_c = 0.525 \pm 0.005$ from below, the avalanche sizes become power-law distributed. The exponent that emerges when $\langle z \rangle = \langle z \rangle_c$ is $\tau_s = 1.20 \pm 0.05$.

We have also studied the model with $\langle z \rangle > \langle z \rangle_c$. Of course, $B_c$ depends on $\langle z \rangle$. Increasing the connectivity lowers the threshold fitness $B_c$ [5]. With increasing $\langle z \rangle$ we observe a crossover to the mean-field value $\tau_s = 3/2$, which is also seen in other versions of the BS model [9,12,13]. This is illustrated in Fig. 2(a), where the avalanche size distribution is given for $\langle z \rangle = 2$ with $B_c = 0.3446$ and $\langle z \rangle = 3$ with $B_c = 0.2575$. The different behavior can be explained by looking at the geometry of the network. Starting from a given site, we have measured the total number of units $M(\ell)$ within $\ell$ bonds from a given site. With $\langle z \rangle = \langle z \rangle_c$ we find $M(\ell) \propto \ell^{D_f}$, with $D_f = 1.88 \pm 0.05$. Thus the dimensionality of the largest cluster in this critical quenched random network is indeed close to 2 which might explain why the critical exponents are close to the 2D exponents. However, when $\langle z \rangle > \langle z \rangle_c$, $M(\ell)$ increases exponentially and mean-field behavior is to be expected.

Finally we have measured, both at and above $\langle z \rangle_c$, how often different nodes on the largest cluster are visited as a function of the coordination number. In contrast to the BS dynamics on cubic lattices (where $z_i = 2D$, independent on $i$), the BS dynamics on quenched random networks is strongly nonergodic. Thus, although the first- and all-return-time exponents for all sites are the same, the overall frequency of visits to different species on the largest cluster varies considerably, as seen in Fig. 2(b). There are “hot spots” on the cluster, which are visited much more frequently than the rest of the network. Furthermore, these hot spots are associated with species with the highest number of neighbors, which makes them the most vulnerable ones. The tendency of the BS dynamics to select highly connected regions as the most active ones suggests that extremal dynamics may be an efficient way to dynamically evolve networks to a statistically stationary state of finite connectivity (i.e., $>0$ and of the order of $\sim 1$ independent of the system size $N$).

If modifications in the network are associated to updates in the BS model, then the network should undergo changes especially at the hot spots. To illustrate this point we have considered an updating algorithm where, with a small probability $p$, one bond is removed (added) from the active site when its number of neighbors $z_i$ exceeds (is less than) the local average connectivity. Within a coevolutionary framework, this would represent the possibility that a species might look for isolation from predators, or search for additional food sources, by approaching the average connectivity of its immediate environment. Figure 3 displays the time evolution of the average coordination number in the largest cluster as a function of $p$. Independent of the initial connectivity of the network, the system self-organizes into a network with average connectivity in the largest cluster $\langle z \rangle_{\text{largest}} = 2$, and cluster size distribution $P(n) \propto n^{-2.5}$. We note that the present dynamic network model generates networks with a connectivity distribution somewhat narrower than a Poissonian. Accordingly, the
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observed value of $\langle z \rangle$ in the total network differs from the value 1.00 obtained, at the critical point, in the case of quenched networks prepared with a Poisson connectivity distribution.

In conclusion, we have studied the BS evolution model on random networks. In quenched networks where the coordination numbers are distributed according to a Poisson distribution, we recover, for high average connectivity between the nodes, the infinite dimension (mean-field) result. For a critical average connectivity $\langle z \rangle_c = 1.00 \pm 0.01$, we find scaling similar to the 2D BS model, and thus consistent with a direct measurement of a dimension 1.88 of the largest cluster. Observing that extremal dynamics on quenched networks tends to concentrate on highly connected nodes, we utilized this to let the network geometry evolve dynamically. We have demonstrated that with a simple dynamical rule the network can self-organize into a critical geometry.

Critically connected networks have been suggested by Kauffman as a natural attractor for the genetic networks of living organisms [2,14]. The present study exemplifies how an $NK$ network of $N$ genes, each of them coupled to $K$ neighboring genes, may evolve towards critical geometries ($K = z \sim 2$). A crucial step in this process is to define the fitness of the network as the corresponding parameter value for the least fit of the $N$ genes, and not, as in the standard $NK$ model [2,14], by the sum of all the fitnesses in the ecology.

We should also mention that the use of extremal dynamics may have some advantages over population dynamics approaches to ecological modeling on large time scales. Since Eigen proposed hypercycles [1] as a natural solution to memory storage in early life development, it has been shown that ecological feedback circuits are unstable towards evolution of parasitic behaviors [15]. Thus ecological networks driven by population dynamics and occasional mutations typically break down into a few dominant species, as can be easily tested numerically.

It has been suggested [15] that some sort of compartmentalization is a prerequisite to obtain some minimal level of complexity. Parametrizing evolution in terms of fitness parameters, instead of population sizes, emphasizes compartmentalization and other natural barriers. We think that modeling ecological networks evolution constrained by barrier dynamics may be a way to add this minimal level of structure into the dynamical evolution of the system.
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